

*Erratum*

## A search for leptoquark bosons and lepton flavor violation in $e^+p$ collisions at HERA

The H1 Collaboration

Eur. Phys. J. C 11, 447–471 (1999)

Published online: 28 September 1999 / Erratum published online: 14 April 2000  
 DOI 10.1007/s100529900195

The production of the tables of constraints for lepton flavour violating leptoquarks when involving  $\tau$  leptons was technically affected by a misreading of efficiencies in the

case of vector (scalar) leptoquarks with fermion number  $F = 0$  ( $F = 2$ ). The corrected Fig. 19 and Fig. 20 are given below.

$e \longleftrightarrow \tau$								$F = 0$
BEST EXCLUSION UPPER LIMITS ON $\frac{\lambda_{1i} \lambda_{3j}}{M_{LQ}^2}$ (in $10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ )								
FOR LEPTON FLAVOUR VIOLATING LEPTOQUARKS								
$q_i q_j$	$S_{1/2,L}$	$S_{1/2,R}$	$\tilde{S}_{1/2,L}$	$V_{0,L}$	$V_{0,R}$	$\tilde{V}_{0,R}$	$V_{1,L}$	
1 1	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0032 H1: 0.046	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0016 H1: 0.037	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0032 H1: 0.062	$G_F$ 0.002 H1: 0.049	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0016 H1: 0.049	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0016 H1: 0.041	$G_F$ 0.002 H1: 0.019	
1 2	ZEUS: 0.12 H1: 0.047	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.05 H1: 0.038	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.05 H1: 0.063	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.03 H1: 0.053	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.03 H1: 0.053	ZEUS: 0.10 H1: 0.045	$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ H1: 0.021	
1 3	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.065	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.065	$B \rightarrow l \nu X$ 0.02 H1: 0.062	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.062	*	$B \rightarrow l \nu X$ 0.02 H1: 0.062	
2 1	ZEUS: 0.34 H1: 0.15	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.05 H1: 0.095	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.05 H1: 0.12	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.03 H1: 0.064	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.03 H1: 0.064	ZEUS: 0.10 H1: 0.073	$K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$ $2.5 \times 10^{-6}$ H1: 0.032	
2 2	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.03 H1: 0.18	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.02 H1: 0.10	ZEUS: 0.48 H1: 0.13	ZEUS: 0.25 H1: 0.076	ZEUS: 0.25 H1: 0.076	ZEUS: 0.31 H1: 0.107	ZEUS: 0.13 H1: 0.044	
2 3	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.14	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.14	$B \rightarrow l \nu X$ 0.02 H1: 0.112	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.112	*	$B \rightarrow l \nu X$ 0.02 H1: 0.112	
3 1	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.16	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.16	$V_{ub}$ 0.002 H1: 0.068	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.068	*	$V_{ub}$ 0.002 H1: 0.068	
3 2	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.19	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.19	$B \rightarrow l \nu X$ 0.02 H1: 0.083	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.083	*	$B \rightarrow l \nu X$ 0.02 H1: 0.083	
3 3	*	ZEUS: 0.72 H1: 0.23	ZEUS: 0.72 H1: 0.23	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.51 Z H1: 0.14	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.51 Z H1: 0.14	*	ZEUS: 0.38 H1: 0.14	

**Fig. 19.** Rejection limits on  $\lambda_{1i}\lambda_{3j}/M_{LQ}^2$  in units of  $10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  for  $F = 0$  leptoquarks, compared to constraints from indirect processes. The first column indicates the generations of the quarks  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  coupling respectively to  $LQ - e$  and  $LQ - \tau$ . In each box, the process which provides a most [22] stringent indirect constraint is listed (first line) together with its exclusion limit (second line) and compared to the actual H1 result (third line). For the  $\tilde{S}_{1/2,L}$ , which does not couple to the neutrino, limits on  $\lambda_{11} \times \lambda_{32}$  and on  $\lambda_{12} \times \lambda_{31}$  derived in [22] from  $K \rightarrow \pi \nu \bar{\nu}$  have been replaced by the bounds obtained from  $\tau \rightarrow K e$ . Shadowed boxes emphasize where HERA limit is comparable to (within a factor of 2) or better than the indirect constraints. The superscripts  $Z$  indicate where earlier HERA [13] results already improved indirect bounds. The open boxes marked with a \* are cases which would involve a top quark

$e \longleftrightarrow \tau$								$F = 2$
BEST EXCLUSION UPPER LIMITS ON $\frac{\lambda_{1i} \lambda_{3j}}{M_{LQ}^2}$ (in $10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ )								
FOR LEPTON FLAVOUR VIOLATING LEPTOQUARKS								
$q_i q_j$	$S_{0,L}$	$S_{0,R}$	$\tilde{S}_{0,R}$	$S_{1,L}$	$V_{1/2,L}$	$V_{1/2,R}$	$\tilde{V}_{1/2,L}$	
1 1	$G_F$ 0.003 H1: 0.082	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0032 H1: 0.082	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0032 H1: 0.097	$G_F$ 0.003 H1: 0.042	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0016 H1: 0.030	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ $8 \times 10^{-4}$ H1: 0.018	$\tau \rightarrow \pi e$ 0.0016 H1: 0.023	
1 2	$K \rightarrow \pi v\bar{v}$ $10^{-5}$ H1: 0.146	ZEUS: 0.20 H1: 0.146	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.05 H1: 0.129	$K \rightarrow \pi v\bar{v}$ $10^{-5}$ H1: 0.059	$K \rightarrow \pi v\bar{v}$ $5 \times 10^{-6}$ H1: 0.060	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.03 H1: 0.048	ZEUS: 0.16 H1: 0.078	
1 3	$V_{bu}$ 0.004	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.138	$V_{bu}$ 0.004 H1: 0.069	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.084	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.084	*	
2 1	$K \rightarrow \pi v\bar{v}$ $10^{-5}$ H1: 0.089	ZEUS: 0.22 H1: 0.089	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.05 H1: 0.106	$K \rightarrow \pi v\bar{v}$ $10^{-5}$ H1: 0.046	$K \rightarrow \pi v\bar{v}$ $5 \times 10^{-6}$ H1: 0.031	$\tau \rightarrow K e$ 0.03 H1: 0.018	ZEUS: 0.06 H1: 0.023	
2 2	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.075 H1: 0.211	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.075 H1: 0.211	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.045 H1: 0.151	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.015 H1: 0.071	ZEUS: 0.25 H1: 0.064	ZEUS: 0.19 H1: 0.053	ZEUS: 0.31 H1: 0.091	
2 3	$B \rightarrow l v X$ 0.04	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.167	$B \rightarrow l v X$ 0.04 H1: 0.083	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.095	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.095	*	
3 1	$B \rightarrow l v X$ 0.04	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.123	$B \rightarrow l v X$ 0.04 H1: 0.061	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.031	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.032	*	
3 2	$B \rightarrow l v X$ 0.04	*	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.08 H1: 0.218	$B \rightarrow l v X$ 0.04 H1: 0.109	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.071	$B \rightarrow \tau \bar{e} X$ 0.04 H1: 0.071	*	
3 3		*	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.045 H1: 0.272	$\tau \rightarrow e \gamma$ 0.015 H1: 0.136	ZEUS: 0.38 H1: 0.120	ZEUS: 0.38 H1: 0.12	*	

**Fig. 20.** Rejection limits on  $\lambda_{1i}\lambda_{3j}/M_{LQ}^2$  in units of  $10^{-4} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$  for  $|F| = 2$  leptoquarks, compared to constraints from indirect processes. The first column indicates the generations of the quarks  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  coupling respectively to  $LQ - e$  and  $LQ - \tau$ . In each box, the process which provides a most [22] stringent indirect constraint is listed (first line) together with its exclusion limit (second line) and compared to the actual H1 result (third line). Shadowed boxes emphasize where HERA limit is comparable to (within a factor of 2) or better than the indirect constraints. The open boxes marked with a \* are cases which would involve a top quark